

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/965,117	09/965,117 09/26/2001		Sean R. Parent	07844-471001 / P435	1762
21876	7590	05/25/2006		EXAMINER	
FISH & RIC		SON P.C.	MEUCCI, MICHAEL D		
P.O. Box 1022 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440-1022				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	·			2142	
			DATE MAILED: 05/25/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/965,117	PARENT ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Michael D. Meucci	2142	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address
THE REPLY FILED <u>25 April 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.
b) X The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).
NOTICE OF APPEAL 2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).
7. Solution For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) solution will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: none.
Claim(s) objected to: none.
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18 and 21-28</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>19 and 20</u> .
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).
13. Other:

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding claim 1, the applicant contends that Stent does not teach or suggest receiving a foreign data block and embedding it along with generated packing data into a file. The examiner respectfully disagrees. Lines 9-11 of column 3 in Stent describe receiving files of an undertermined format, which clearly qualifies them as "foreign" Lines 38-40 of column 2 in Stent describe identifying and labeling the contents of a file which clearly exemplifies generating and embedding packing data into the file. As such, the rejection remains proper and is maintained by the examiner.

Regarding claim 1, the applicant contends that Stent does not disclose selection of a byte pattern for generated packing data that indicates the presence of a header based on a determined character format. The examiner respectfully disagrees. The byte pattern selection is described particularly in lines 50-67 of column 3 and lines 1-67 of column 4. In these columns, the detection of a header is based on a determined character format by detecting the word "HEADER", which also inherently indicates the presence of the header. See also lines 39-40 of column 2 which disclose "The file characteristics can be stored, or used immediately to decode the entire file." The byte pattern is selected is also described in lines 16-37 of column 4 including descriptions of conversion and look-up tables. As such, the rejection remains proper and is maintained by the examiner.